



Detection of yellow fever 17D genome in urine.

Cristina Domingo, Sergio Yactayo, Edinam Agbenu, Maurice Demanou, Axel R Schultz, Katjana Daskalow, Matthias Niedrig

► To cite this version:

Cristina Domingo, Sergio Yactayo, Edinam Agbenu, Maurice Demanou, Axel R Schultz, et al.. Detection of yellow fever 17D genome in urine.. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 2010, epub ahead of print. 10.1128/JCM.01775-10 . pasteur-00542392

HAL Id: pasteur-00542392

<https://riip.hal.science/pasteur-00542392>

Submitted on 2 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DETECTION OF YELLOW FEVER 17D GENOME IN URINE

Yellow fever (YF) remains an important public health problem in endemic regions, with a dramatic upsurge in the number of cases in recent years. So far, extensive YF epizooties occurred in South America in 2008, and during the past year YF outbreaks arose in Cameroon, Democratic Republic of Congo, Guinea, Côte d'Ivoire, Central African Republic and Liberia (<http://www.who.int/>). Highly effective, live attenuated YF vaccines against the disease have been available for decades and have had a major impact on the incidence of the disease (4).

The clinical diagnosis of isolated cases of YF or identification of vaccine-associated adverse events (YFVAE) is particularly difficult because the symptoms are quite similar to those of many other diseases (5). Laboratory confirmation is therefore essential and relies on the detection of YF-specific IgM or a fourfold or greater rise in serum IgG levels (in the absence of recent YF vaccination), or isolation of yellow fever virus (YFV), positive postmortem liver histopathology, detection of YF antigen in tissues by immunohistochemistry, or detection of YFV RNA by PCR which provides the earliest diagnosis possible. Samples recommended for diagnostics are blood, serum, CSF, peritoneal or pleural fluid and liver biopsies (1, 5). However, biopsies and invasive techniques must be avoided or practiced with extreme caution due to the risk of bleeding complications (3).

We have collected urine samples (n=129) from YF-17D vaccinees (day 0 to day 28), comprising sequential samples from 13 healthy primary vaccinees, one revaccinated individual, and 18 suspected YFVAE detected during mass-vaccination campaigns in Liberia and Cameroon. Urine samples from suspected YFVAE were collected at only

one time point, when patients demanded medical assistance. Ten preimmune urine samples were also included in the study presented.

RNA was extracted from 1 ml of freshly thawed urine by using the inRICHMENT Virus Reagent (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany), followed by QIAmp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Ca, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Specific YF-17D genome was detected by quantitative real time RT-PCR performed as described previously (2).

In total, 18 out of 129 samples yielded positive amplification of YF-17D genome, while all preimmune sera were negative. Among the healthy YF-17D vaccinees, four exhibited the presence of YFV RNA in their urine (28.6%), including 3 first-time vaccinees and the re-vaccinated one. The YF-17D genome was detected in the urine of these individuals in an intermittent mode, with more than one consecutive day yielding positive amplification. From our results, it seems that a first excretion of YF-17D occurs in the first days after vaccination, and a second viral shedding (days 4-7) might happen, probably reflecting the viral replication in the vaccinees (Figure 1).

Among suspected YFVAE patients, YF-17D genome was detected in eight out of 18 patients (44.4%) at different time points. Paired sera from these patients did not yield a positive amplification of YFV-17D genome. Remarkably, we found the presence of viral genome 20, 24, and 25 days after vaccination in the suspected YFVAE patients (Figure 1). We can only hypothesize whether the presence of viral genome in urine at this time was a response to the prolonged replication of the virus in the patients affected or to a persistent viral shedding of the vaccine virus which may occur in some individuals without further pathological significance.

The average viral load detected in positive samples was 8.8×10^2 genome equivalents (GE)/ml, ranging between 30 and 70 GE/ml (n=4 samples) to 10^4 GE/ml (n=1 sample), without any significant difference regarding the day of sample collection.

A more comprehensive study to determine the features of YFV-17D shedding in urine is ongoing. It would be highly desirable to explore the presence of YFV genome in wild-type cases, as we would then be able to anticipate that YF genome could be present in the urine of the patients during the course of the disease, providing a feature that could be extremely useful for diagnosis and identification of clinical cases.

This work is the first report of YF-17D genome detection in urine of vaccinees. The finding could be related to the fact that YFV replicates in the kidney and provides the opportunity for further research regarding YF and YF-17D pathogenesis and organ tropism. Moreover, the data reported have relevance for diagnostic purposes since urine samples are very easy to collect, even from cases with hemorrhagic alterations or from newborns without the need of invasive methods or trained personnel. Moreover, its use would be of great interest under field conditions such as suspected outbreaks or mass-vaccination campaigns.


REFERENCES

1. 2010. Yellow Fever Vaccine: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm. Rep. **59**:1-27.
2. **Bae, H. G., A. Nitsche, A. Teichmann, S. S. Biel, and M. Niedrig.** 2003. Detection of yellow fever virus: a comparison of quantitative real-time PCR and plaque assay. J. Virol. Methods **110**:185-191.
3. **CDC.** 11 July 2007, posting date. Special Testing for Selected Patients with Severe Adverse Events Potentially Related to Yellow Fever Vaccination. [Online.]
4. **Staples, J. E., and T. P. Monath.** 2008. Yellow fever: 100 years of discovery. JAMA **300**:960-962.
5. **WHO Media Centre.** 2009. Yellow fever Fact Sheet n°10. World Health Organization.

Cristina Domingo¹, Sergio Yactayo², Edinam Agbenu³, Maurice Demanou⁴, Axel Schultz¹, Katjana Daskalow⁶, and Matthias Niedrig¹

¹ Robert Koch Institut, Berlin, Germany; ² World Health Organization (WHO), Epidemic Readiness and Intervention (ERI) Global Alert and Response (HSE/GAR), Geneva, Switzerland; ³ Université de Lomé, Faculté Mixte de Médecine et de Pharmacie (FMMP-UL); ⁴ Centre Pasteur du Cameroun (CPC), Yaoundé, Cameroon; *Réseau International des Instituts Pasteur* ⁵ Deutsches Rheuma Forschungszentrum (DRFZ), Berlin, Germany; ⁶ AJ Innuscreen, Berlin, Germany

Figure 1: Detection of YF-17D genome in urine of vaccinees. Black bars represent positive samples from healthy vaccinees; stripped bars represent positive samples from suspected YFAE. Dotted bars represent negative samples (both healthy and suspected YFAE vaccinees). The black line indicates the percentage of positive samples from the total of samples assayed at this time point.



* Percentages could be biased by the small number of samples