

Quantitative detection of HIV-1 particles using HIV-1 neutralizing antibody-conjugated beads.

Byoung Chan Kim, Moon Kyeong Ju, Alexey Dan-Chin-Yu, Peter Sommer

▶ To cite this version:

Byoung Chan Kim, Moon Kyeong Ju, Alexey Dan-Chin-Yu, Peter Sommer. Quantitative detection of HIV-1 particles using HIV-1 neutralizing antibody-conjugated beads.. Analytical Chemistry, 2009, 81 (6), pp.2388-93. 10.1021/ac802267u. pasteur-00683853

HAL Id: pasteur-00683853 https://riip.hal.science/pasteur-00683853

Submitted on 30 Mar 2012 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Anal. Chem. XXXX, xxx, 000-000

1

2

3

4

5

7

Quantitative Detection of HIV-1 Particles Using HIV-1 Neutralizing Antibody-Conjugated Beads

Byoung Chan Kim,*^{,†} Moon Kyeong Ju,[‡] Alexey Dan-Chin-Yu,[†] and Peter Sommer^{*,‡}

Diagnostics Group, and Cell Biology of Retroviruses Group, Institut Pasteur Korea, 39-1 Hawolgok-dong, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea

The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pandemic 6 mainly affects developing countries, where the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) 8 estimates suggest that less than 1 in 10 people are aware 9 of their HIV sero-status. In order to enhance epidemio-10 logical surveys, prevention programs, and therapeutic 11 interventions, development of specific, rapid, and conve-12 nient diagnostic detection systems is still warranted. Here 13 we report the direct detection of HIV particles using 14 broadly HIV-1 neutralizing gp120 monoclonal antibody 15 (gp120MAbs)-conjugated magnetic beads (MBs) and 16 fluorescent nanosized polymeric beads (FNBs). The HIV-1 17 envelope glycoprotein gp120 is anchored to the viral 18 surface through gp41 and mediates entry into target cells 19 by interaction with the main cellular receptor (CD4) and 20 coreceptors (e.g., CCR5 and CXCR4). FNBs conjugated 21 to gp120MAbs (gp120MAbs-FNBs) were used to gener-22 ate fluorescent signals, whereas MBs conjugated to 23 gp120MAbs (gp120MAbs-MBs) were employed to iso-24 late HIV-1 particles. In presence of HIV-1 particles, 25 addition of gp120MAbs-FNBs and gp120MAbs-MBs 26 leads to the formation of a MBs/HIV-1 particles/FNB 27 complex, which can be easily isolated and concentrated 28 by common magnet separation. We demonstrate the 29 ability of detecting HIV-1 particles specifically and directly 30 using MBs and FNBs with low sample volume (less than 31 100 μ L) and rapidity (less than 1.5 h) without any 32 pretreatment of test samples. The specific binding of FNBs 33 with HIV-1 particles on the surface of MBs was confirmed 34 by fluorescence microscopy and fluorescence-activated 35 cell sorting (FACS). Imaging and FACS analysis revealed 36 the specific and quantitative detection of HIV-1 particles. 37 These results provide proof-of-principle that broadly 38 HIV-1 neutralizing gp120 antibodies coupled to nano-39 40 beads can be employed for the direct detection of HIV-1 particles with potential implication for the development 41 of specific, rapid, and convenient diagnostic systems. 42

Rapid, inexpensive, and easy-to-perform detection assays for 43 pathogenic viruses are important in many applications including 44 45 clinical diagnostics, vaccine design, treatment, and biological

research. In the case of direct virus particle detection, there are 46 only very few reports^{1,2} compared to DNA/RNA- or protein-based 47 detection methods.³⁻⁷ Significant improvements have been pro-48 gressed in the area of molecular detection using polymerase chain 49 reaction (PCR) or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) 50 techniques. Although these methods offer quite high accuracy 51 and sensitivity in diagnostic settings, they need pretreatment steps 52 of samples to extract target components, prevention of contamina-53 tion, and expensive tools to perform the assays.⁸ Therefore, more 54 cost-effective and rapid detection assays in terms of virus particles 55 rather than components of the virus are in demand, especially in 56 resource-poor setting areas such as undeveloped countries, in 57 which virus-related diseases are mainly prevalent. 58

The World Health Organization (WHO)/Joint United Nations 59 Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) estimates that 40 million 60 people are living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/ 61 acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) infection to date.9 62 Current estimates suggest that less than 1 in 10 people are aware 63 of their HIV sero-status.¹⁰ Therefore, highly specific, rapid, and 64 convenient HIV-1 detection systems are required to facilitate 65 identification of infected individuals, preventing them from further 66 infecting others, monitoring disease progression of infected 67 individuals, and investigating clinical trials of new drugs or vaccine 68 candidates. Toward this goal, many HIV-1 detection assays were 69 proposed and used in clinical and laboratory areas. At present 70 the most widely used and U.S. Food and Drug Administration 71 (FDA)-approved HIV-1 screening test kit, OraQuick Advance, is 72 based on IgG antibody tests in oral fluid samples based on a 73

- (3) Fabris, P.; Biasin, M. R.; Giordani, M. T.; Berardo, L.; Menini, V.; Carlotto, A.; Miotti, M. G.; Manfrin, V.; Baldo, V.; Nebbia, G.; Infantolino, D. Curr. HIV Res. 2008, 6, 173-179.
- (4) Contreras-Galindo, R.; Gonzalez, M.; Almodovar-Camacho, S.; Gonzalez-Ramirez, S.; Lorenzo, E.; Yamamura, Y. J. Virol. Methods 2006, 136, 51-57.
- (5) de Mendoza, C.; Koppelman, M.; Montes, B.; Ferre, V.; Soriano, V.; Cuypers, H.; Segondy, M.; Oosterlaken, T. J. Virol. Methods 2005, 127, 54-59.
- (6) Deback, C.; Agbalika, F.; Scieux, C.; Marcelin, A. G.; Gautheret-Dejean, A.; Cherot, J.; Hermet, L.; Roger, O.; Agut, H. J. Virol. Methods 2008, 149, 285-291.
- (7) Rouet, F.; Ekouevi, D. K.; Chaix, M. L.; Burgard, M.; Inwoley, A.; Tony, T. D.; Danel, C.; Anglaret, X.; Leroy, V.; Msellati, P.; Dabis, F.; Rouzioux, C. I. Clin. Microbiol. 2005, 43, 2709-2717.
- (8) Xiao, L.; Zhang, J.; Yin, Y. F.; Chen, C. L.; Li, K.; Chang, A.; Sirois, P. Biotechnol. Adv. 2008, 26, 389-397.
- (9) McMichael, A. J. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2006, 24, 227-255.
- (10) Pant Pai, N. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn. 2007, 7, 325-328.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: bckim@ip-korea.org (B.C.K.); peter@ip-korea.org (P.S.). Phone: +82-(0)2-3299-0272 (B.C.K.); +82-(0)2-3299-0302 (P.S.). Fax: +82-(0)2-3299-0210 (B.C.K.); +82-(0)2-3299-0210 (P.S.).

Diagnostics Group.

^{*} Cell Biology of Retroviruses Group.

⁽¹⁾ Ymeti, A.; Greve, J.; Lambeck, P. V.; Wink, T.; van Hovell, S.; Beumer, T. A. M.; Wijn, R. R.; Heideman, R. G.; Subramaniam, V.; Kanger, J. S. Nano Lett. 2007, 7, 394-397.

⁽²⁾ Chang, W. S.; Shang, H.; Perera, R. M.; Lok, S. M.; Sedlak, D.; Kuhn, R. J.; Lee, G. U. Analyst 2008, 133, 233-240.

salivary component and the oral mucosal transudation.¹⁰ Although 74 75 these oral fluid tests offer accurate, feasible, and clinically acceptable performance, the test results are considered prelimi-76 nary because of hypermutable features of HIV-1 and low-level 77 antibody presence in the antibody-free window period.8,10,11 78 Another method is based on detection of the HIV-1 core antigen.^{12,13} 79 80 Further, nucleic acid based tests (PCR or real-time PCR (RT-PCR)), which target highly conserved regions of the HIV-1 gag 81 gene, are widely used to confirm an HIV infection.^{14,15} These 82 methods are widely accepted and used for the diagnosis of HIV 83 infection but rely rather on the detection of byproducts of an HIV-1 84 85 infection or their components than detection of the virus particle itself. The byproduct detection methods are usually expensive, 86 87 time-consuming, labor-intensive, and complicated in their protocol. 88 To our knowledge, there is currently no report about the direct detection of HIV-1 particles, which may offer a convenient and 89 90 reliable detection method without complicated sample preparation.

HIV infects target cells that express CD4 on their surface. HIV 91 infection requires a fusion of viral and cellular membranes. This 92 process is accomplished by the viral envelope glycoprotein (gp120, 93 gp41) and receptors (CD4 and coreceptors, such as CXCR4 or 94 CCR5) on the target cell.^{16–18} For an HIV-1 vaccine, the envelope 95 glycoproteins (Envs) gp120 and gp41 are the only virus-encoded 96 determinants that are present on the virus surface. Conserved 97 regions in these proteins are candidate targets for the development 98 of antibodies that can neutralize a wide array of circulating HIV-1 99 isolates.^{9,18} So far, only four broad HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies 100 have been well defined-two against gp120 (b12 and 2G12) and 101 two against gp41 (2F5 and 4E10)-and each of these was elicited 102 by natural infection. Considering the HIV-1 infection process 103 mediated by gp120 and CD4 binding and endeavor of vaccine 104 105 development, we hypothesized that gp120MAbs also might be 106 useful as probes for efficient and direct diagnosis of HIV-1 particles. From this point of view, broadly HIV-1 neutralizing 107 antibody should be feasible for identification of a broad range of 108 HIV-1 isolates.9,16,18-20 109

Herein, we report the development of an HIV-1 particle diagnostic system using broadly HIV-1 neutralizing gp120MAbs (2G12) conjugated to magnetic beads (MBs) and fluorescent nanosized polymeric beads (FNBs) that allows direct capture and quantification of HIV-1 particles without any pretreatment of the

(11) Reynolds, S. J.; Muwonga, J. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 2004, *4*, 587–591.
(12) Hashida, S.; Hashinaka, K.; Ishikawa, S.; Ishikawa, E. J. Clin. Lab. Anal.

- **1997**, *11*, 267–286. (13) Schupbach, J. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* **2003**, *132*, 196–209.
- (14) Triques, K.; Coste, J.; Perret, J. L.; Segarra, C.; Mpoudi, E.; Reynes, J.; Delaporte, E.; Butcher, A.; Dreyer, K.; Herman, S.; Spadoro, J.; Peeters, M. J. Clin. Microbiol. **1999**, *37*, 110–116.
- (15) Hamatake, M.; Nishizawa, M.; Yamamoto, N.; Kato, S.; Sugiura, W. J. Virol. Methods 2007, 142, 113–117.
- (16) Zhou, T.; Xu, L.; Dey, B.; Hessell, A. J.; Van Ryk, D.; Xiang, S.-H.; Yang, X.; Zhang, M.-Y.; Zwick, M. B.; Arthos, J.; Burton, D. R.; Dimitrov, D. S.; Sodroski, J.; Wyatt, R.; Nabel, G. J.; Kwong, P. D. *Nature* **2007**, *445*, 732– 737.
- (17) Pantophlet, R.; Burton, D. R. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2006, 24, 739-769.
- (18) Li, Y.; Migueles, S. A.; Welcher, B.; Svehla, K.; Phogat, A.; Louder, M. K.; Wu, X.; Shaw, G. M.; Connors, M.; Wyatt, R. T.; Mascola, J. R. *Nat. Med.* **2007**, *13*, 1032–1034.
- (19) Eggink, D.; Melchers, M.; Sanders, R. W. Trends Microbiol. 2007, 15, 291.
- (20) Calarese, D. A.; Scanlan, C. N.; Zwick, M. B.; Deechongkit, S.; Mimura, Y.; Kunert, R.; Zhu, P.; Wormald, M. R.; Stanfield, R. L.; Roux, K. H.; Kelly, J. W.; Rudd, P. M.; Dwek, R. A.; Katinger, H.; Burton, D. R.; Wilson, I. A. *Science* **2003**, *300*, 2065–2071.

samples. Specific and nonspecific binding of HIV-1 particles with 115MBs and FNBs was systematically characterized at each chemical 116 linker or antibody conjugation step. HIV-1 detection mediated by 117MBs and FNBs was analyzed using fluorescence-activated cell 118 sorting (FACS) and fluorescence microscopy coupled quantitative 119 image analysis. To our knowledge, this is the first report about 120 the direct detection of HIV-1 particles using broadly HIV-1 121 neutralizing antibody-conjugated beads. 122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Carboxylated FNBs (mean diameter, ~92 nm, Dragon green dye (ex 480 nm, em 520 nm) encapsulated polystyrene beads) and carboxylated MBs (mean diameter, ~8.31 μ m, COMPEL magnetic) were purchased from Bangs Laboratories, Inc. (Indiana, U.S.A.). The broadly HIV-1 neutralizing gp120 monoclonal antibody (gp120MAb), 2G12, was purchased from Polymun Scientific (Vienna, Austria). 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), *N*-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (sulfo-NHS), and 2-(morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) were purchased from Pierce (Illinois, U.S.A.). Human serum samples (HS2–HS4) were kindly provided by Dr. Sungae Cho (Institut Pasteur Korea) or purchased from KOMA Biotech, Korea (HS1). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Invitrogen (California, U.S.A.). Other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Production of HIV-1 Particles. HEK293 cells (America Type Culture Collection, CRL-1573) were cotransfected by CaCl₂ transfection with two different plasmids, pCMV-dR8.74 and pDOLHIVenv,^{21,22} which contain Gag and Pol, and Env components of HIV-1, respectively. Cotransfected HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FCS at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. After 2 days, supernatant was collected and HIV-1 particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation (26 000 rpm for 2 h at room temperature). The concentrated particles were resuspended in DMEM and kept at -80 °C until use. The concentration of produced virus-like particles (VLPs) was determined by p24 ELISA assay kit (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA).

Conjugation of gp120 Monoclonal Antibody with MBs and **FNBs.** Carboxylated MBs $(7.82 \times 10^5 \text{ particles})$ were first washed with 100 mM MES buffer (pH 5.9) and then mixed with sulfo-NHS (46 mM) and EDC (26 mM) solution. MBs were incubated for 30 min at room temperature under vigorous shaking. After incubation, MBs were separated with a common magnet and then washed three times with 100 mM MES buffer (pH 5.9). Washed MBs were mixed with gp120MAbs (2G12, 83 pmol) and incubated for 3 h under vigorous shaking at room temperature. After incubation with 2G12, MBs were washed three times with 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.2). The amount of immobilized gp120MAbs was then calculated by subtracting the amount of gp120MAbs determined in the residual solutions and washings from the total amount of gp120MAbs used in the immobilization procedure using the BCA (bicinchoninic acid) assay kit (Pierce, Illinois). For the capping of unreacted EDC, 100 mM glycine (pH 8.0) was mixed

⁽²¹⁾ Perletti, G.; Osti, D.; Marras, E.; Tettamanti, G.; Eguileor, M. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2004, 8, 142–143.

⁽²²⁾ Kost, T. A.; Kessler, J. A.; Patel, I. R.; Gray, J. G.; Overton, L. K.; Carter, S. G. J. Virol. 1991, 65, 3276–3283.



Figure 1. Scheme of HIV-1 detection method using broadly HIV-1 neutralizing gp120 antibody-conjugated beads. The particle detection comprised three steps: (i) HIV-1 particles were captured and isolated by gp120MAbs-MBs, (ii) gp120MAbs-FNBs were added and allowed to bind additional gp120 molecules on HIV-1 particles, and (iii) MBs/HIV-1 particles/FNBs complexes were further purified and subjected to fluorescent signal analysis.

with gp120MAbs-conjugated MBs (gp120MAbs-MBs) and 169 170 incubated for 30 min at room temperature. After three more washing steps with 10 mM PBS buffer, gp120MAbs-MBs were 171 resuspended with 10 mM PBS buffer and stored at 4 °C until 172use. For carboxylated FNBs conjugation with gp120 MAbs, 173 carboxylated FNBs $(2.31 \times 10^{11} \text{ particles})$ were suspended in 174 175 100 mM MES buffer (pH 5.9) and mixed with sulfo-NHS (46 176 mM) and EDC (26 mM) solution. After 30 min of incubation and brief sonication, EDC-NHS-conjugated FNBs were cen-177 trifuged (13 000 rpm) for 30 min at room temperature and 178 washed with MES buffer. An amount of 166 pmol of gp120MAbs 179 180 was mixed with EDC-NHS-conjugated FNBs, and the mixture 181 was incubated for 3 h under vigorous shaking at room temperature. After 3 h of incubation gp120MAbs-conjugated 182 FNBs (gp120MAbs-FNBs) were separated by centrifugation 183 (13 000 rpm) for 30 min at room temperature. The centrifuga-184 185 tion step was repeated two more times to remove unconjugated 186 gp120MAbs as resuspending debris with 100 mM MES buffer (pH 5.9). For the capping of unreacted EDC, 100 mM glycine 187 (pH 8.0) was mixed with gp120MAbs-FNBs and incubated 188 for 30 min. After three more washing steps with 10 mM PBS 189 190 buffer, gp120MAbs-FNBs were resuspended in 10 mM PBS 191 buffer and stored at 4 °C until use.

HIV-1 Detection Assay. Five microliters of gp120MAbs-MBs 192 $(\sim 2 \times 10^4 \text{ particles})$ was mixed with 10 μ L of VLPs stock 193 solution diluted in human serum samples or FBS and $85 \,\mu$ L of 194 195 10 mM PBS-TBN buffer (pH 7.2, 100 mL based 10 mM PBS buffer with 0.1 g of BSA, 0.02 g of sodium azide, and 0.02 mL 196 of Tween 20). The mixture was allowed to react under vigorous 197 shaking at room temperature for 30 min. The MBs were 198 separated using common magnet and washed three times with 199 200 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.2). The MBs with captured VLPs on 201 the surfaces were resuspended in 90 μ L of 10 mM PBS–TBN buffer (pH 7.2) and were allowed to react with 10 μ L (~1.15 × 202 10¹⁰ particles) of gp120MAbs-FNBs with vigorous shaking for 203 additional 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the MBs/VLPs/ 204 205FNBs complex was separated by magnet, washed three more 206 times, and concentrated to $10 \,\mu$ L with DI water. Concentrated samples were used for fluorescence microscopy (Olympus, 207 Japan) and FACS (BDFACSCanto II, BDscience, U.S.A.) 208 analyses. Arbitrary pixel intensity of magnetic beads in micro-209210 scopic images was analyzed using ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih. gov/ij/) software. The correlation of the quantity of VLPs in 211 the samples with recovered fluorescence intensities of FNBs 212 bound to MBs by FACS and image-based analyses, respectively, 213

was analyzed by nonlinear regression in terms of a simple single-site biding model for the antibody–antigen interaction²⁰ using SigmaPlot (Systat Software, Inc., Illinois).

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The HIV-1 particle detection method described herein relies on the immunogenic ability between gp120 on the HIV-1 envelope and the broadly HIV-1 neutralizing gp120 antibody, 2G12. As illustrated in Figure 1, the HIV-1 detection comprises three steps: (i) HIV-1 particles were captured and isolated by gp120MAbs-MBs, (ii) gp120MAbs-FNBs were added and allowed to bind additional gp120 molecules on HIV-1 particles, and (iii) MBs/ HIV-1 particles/FNBs complexes were further purified and subjected to fluorescent signal analysis.

To explore the feasibility of neutralizing antibody-conjugated beads for the direct and quantitative detection of HIV-1 particles, we employed HIV-1 VLPs produced by cotransfection of pCMVdR8.74 (expressing gag and pol genes) and pDOLHIVenv (expressing the env gene) into HEK293 cells. The gag gene encodes the structural proteins of the viral capsid which are sufficient for particle assembly. The Pol protein contains viral enzymes that are required for infectivity (e.g., the viral protease required for maturation of released virions). The env gene encodes the proteins required for viral binding to and entry into the host cell. This polyprotein is cleaved in the Golgi compartment by a cellular protease to produce two mature glycoproteins, the surface gp120 and transmembrane protein, gp41. These two proteins are associate together to form the envelope protein complex on the surface of the plasma membrane that is incorporated into the viral envelope during budding.23 Thus, the VLPs represent genuine virions that are, however, noninfectious because of the absence of viral genomic components inside the particles.

For the conjugation of gp120MAbs with MBs and FNBs, an EDC–NHS reaction was applied, which is well established for the coupling of amine and carboxyl groups. EDC was reacted with a carboxyl group on MBs or FNBs to form an amine-reactive *O*-acylisourea intermediate. The addition of sulfo-NHS stabilizes the intermediate by converting it to an amine-reactive sulfo-NHS ester. The amine-reactive ester permits a two-step cross-linking process that allows linking of the carboxyl groups with the amino groups of the antibody.²⁴ Successful conjugation of the antibody with MBs and FNBs was controlled by gel electrophoresis and

⁽²³⁾ Ott, D. E. Rev. Med. Virol. 1997, 7, 167-180.

⁽²⁴⁾ Staros, J. V.; Wright, R. W.; Swingle, D. M. Anal. Biochem. 1986, 156, 220–222.



Figure 2. Gel electrophoresis (0.5% agarose in $0.5 \times TBE$) of FNBs: (A) unreacted carboxyl FNBs, (B) EDC–NHS coupled FNBs, and (C) gp120MAbs–FNBs. White dotted boxes denote sample loading wells.

BCA protein assay, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, the 255unreacted carboxyl FNBs and EDC-NHS-conjugated FNBs 256migrated far from the loading well as expected due to the negative 257 charges on the surface of FNBs by protonation of carboxylic 258259 groups or amine-reactive ester groups. Importantly, gp120MAbs-FNBs were retained at the well position and did not migrate into 260 the gel, confirming that gp120MAbs-FNBs had lost their negative 261 charges after cross-linking. Though MBs also have negative 262 charges of carboxyl groups on their surface, antibody conjugation 263 264 cannot be monitored by gel electrophoresis due to their size and 265 weight. Thus, conjugation of gp120MAbs was analyzed by colorimetric detection using the BCA protein assay kit. The quantity 266 of conjugated gp120MAbs was determined by the difference of 267 UV absorbance values of the BCA solution before and after 268 conjugation of gp120MAbs with MBs. This revealed that $\sim 0.5 \,\mu g$ 269of gp120MAbs associated with 7.82×10^5 MB particles corre-270 sponding to an average number of $\sim 3.2 \times 10^6$ gp120MAbs per 271MB (data not shown). 272

In the next step, the specificity of gp120MAbs-MBs and 273 gp120MAbs-FNBs to detect HIV-1 VLPs was investigated. As 274 275 control, carboxylated FNBs and carboxylated MBs were mixed with or without VLPs, and then MBs were separated and analyzed 276 by fluorescence microscopy. In both cases no fluorescent signals 277 could be detected, ruling out any unspecific binding of the HIV-1 278 279 VLPs to the beads (Figure 3, parts A and B). Similarly, when EDC-NHS coupled FNBs and EDC-NHS coupled MBs were 280 mixed with or without VLPs or when gp120MAbs-FNBs and 281 gp120MAbs-MBs were incubated without VLPs, no fluorescent 282 signals could be observed (Figure 3C-E). In sharp contrast, when 283 284 HIV-1VLPswereincubated with gp120MAbs-FNBs and gp120MAbs-MBs fluorescent signals were easily detectable, whereas incubation of 285 286 gp120MAbs-FNBs and gp120MAbs-MBs with bacteriophagelike particles (total $\sim 2 \times 10^4$ particles, Asuragen, Texas) were 287 negative, demonstrating the specificity of the interaction 288 (Figure 3, parts F and G). Thus, 2G12-conjugated beads are a 289 suitable and specific tool for the detection of HIV-1 particles. 290

To validate the ability of this method for quantitation of viral titers, serial dilutions of HIV-1 VLPs were prepared in human



Figure 3. Specificity of VLP detection; left boxes denote bright-field images, and right boxes are dark-field images from fluorescence microscopy: (A) carboxyl FNBs/carboxyl MBs, (B) carboxyl FNBs/VLPs/carboxyl MBs, (C) EDC-NHS coupled FNBs/EDC-NHS coupled MBs, (D) EDC-NHS coupled FNBs/VLPs/EDC-NHS coupled MBs, (E) gp120MAbs-FNBs/gp120MAbs-MBs, (F) gp120MAbs-FNBs/bacteriophage-like particles/gp120MAbs-MBs, and (G) gp120MAbs-FNBs/VLPs/gp120MAbs-MBs.

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

serum (HS1) and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of isolated MBs/VLPs/FNBs complexes was determined by FACS analysis. As can be seen in Figure 4A-F, all VLP-containing samples demonstrated significant fluorescent profiles compared to the negative control samples, and the assay proved to be robust with low variability (Figure 4G). Further, a nonlinear regression analysis assuming a single-site binding mode for the antibody-antigen interaction strongly supports the notion that the intensity of FNBs bound to MBs correlates well with the quantity of VLPs in the samples (Figure 4G). Comparable results were obtained when arbitrary pixel intensities of the isolated MBs/VLPs/FNBs complexes were determined by microscopic examination followed by quantitative image analysis using ImageJ software (Figure 4H). Since sera are complex and variable fluids, we then prepared serial dilutions of HIV-1 VLPs in different serum samples (four human sera and FBS) and determined the MFI of isolated MBs/VLPs/FNBs complexes by FACS analysis. Albeit there was a notable difference of relative fluorescence signals, we importantly observed a dose-



Figure 4. Quantitative analysis of gp120MAbs–FNBs/VLPs/gp120MAbs–MBs using FACS and fluorescence microscopy. Serial dilutions of HIV-1 VLPs corresponding to (A) 1036, (B) 259, (C) 64, (D) 16, (E) 4, and (F) 0 pg/ μ L of p24 capsid antigen were prepared in human serum (KOMA Biotech, Korea), and the mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of isolated MBs/VLPs/FNBs complexes was determined by FACS analysis. Representative FACS profiles for each concentration from at least three independent experiments are shown. Insets are representative fluorescence microscopy images of the corresponding samples. (G) Bar graph illustration of the MFI depending on the HIV-1 p24 core antigen concentration of three independent experiments (\pm STDEV). The superimposed black line represents the curve fit from a nonlinear regression analysis assuming a single-site binding on the HIV-1 p24 core antigen concentration of 5 representative beads/picture (\pm STDEV). The superimposed black line represents the curve fit from a nonlinear regression analysis assuming a single-site binding equation for the antibody–antigen interaction as indicated. (H) Bar graph illustration of the arbitrary pixel intensity (API) depending on the HIV-1 p24 core antigen concentration of 5 representative beads/picture (\pm STDEV). The superimposed black line represents the curve fit from a nonlinear regression analysis assuming a single-site binding equation for the antibody–antigen interaction as indicated.

312 dependent increase in the MFIs in all cases tested, which correlated well with the p24 concentration of the samples 313 (Figure 5). Together these results demonstrate that this 314 approach is feasible to isolate HIV-1 VLPs from human serum 315 by using first magnetic beads and by performing a subsequent 316 detection of the VLPs with fluorescent beads which can be 317 quantitatively analyzed by both, fluorescence microscopy and 318 flow cytometry. 319

CONCLUSION

In summary, the results provide proof-of-concept that broadly HIV-1 neutralizing antibody-conjugated beads can be employed for the specific and quantitative detection of HIV-1 particles. The procedure is fast (within 1.5 h) and simple with high specificity and without the need of pretreatment of samples. As compared to DNA/RNA- or protein-based analysis the direct detection of HIV-1 particles offers several advantages. By eliminating sample treatment steps for extracting DNA/RNA or protein, the HIV-1

323

324

325

326

327

328



Figure 5. Specific detection of VLPs in different sera. Dilutions of HIV-1 VLPs corresponding to p24 capsid antigen concentrations as indicated were prepared in four different human sera (HS1–HS4) and in FBS. Bar graph illustration of the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) depending on the HIV-1 p24 core antigen concentration of three independent experiments (±STDEV) is shown.

329 particle detection is easy to perform with minimal need of laboratory equipment or infrastructure. Further, the assay is based 330 331 just on mixing and separation, and therefore minimal expertise is required to perform the detection assay. We also demonstrate 332 that the isolated VLPs can be quantified not only by FACS analysis 333 334 but also by microscopic examination followed by quantitative image analysis. Given the potential application as a diagnostic tool 335 336 kit, we believe that an image-based detection system could have some advantage compared to FACS analysis-in particular in 337 resource-poor settings. Magnetic separation is effective and 338 339 specific for the isolation and detection of biological material in complex samples and the use of broadly neutralizing antibodies 340 may be applicable to detect a wide range of HIV-1 clades.²⁵ It is 341 also noteworthy that magnetic beads are useful tools to enrich 342 biological materials from rather large volumes, which may 343 344 facilitate the detection of minor variants usually difficult to detect due to sampling bottlenecks. For instance, the magnetic bead 345 separation of HIV-1 particles described here followed by PCR 346 analyses could also be employed in genotyping approaches to 347

F

determine the appearance or prevalence of drug resistant HIV-1 variants, and it may be possible to couple a cocktail of different neutralizing antibodies to the beads, to be able to isolate escape mutants for particular antibodies. We wish also to emphasize that the use of antibody-conjugated beads for the detection of surface proteins may be applicable to other pathogens either alone or as a multiplexing system with appropriate combinatorial design of detection probes and the results presented here may be a first step for the development of a rapid, inexpensive, and easy-toperform diagnostic tool kit for HIV-1 particle detection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was kindly supported by institutional funding from the Institut Pasteur Korea. We are grateful to Dr. Sungae Cho (Institut Pasteur Korea) for the generous gift of human serum samples and Dr. Sung-Jun Han (Institut Pasteur Korea) for helpful discussion.

Received for review October 28, 2008. Accepted January 16, 2009.

AC802267U

362

363

364

365

366

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

PAGE EST: 5.7

⁽²⁵⁾ Binley, J. A.; Wrin, T.; Korber, B.; Zwick, M. B.; Wang, M.; Chappey, C.; Stiegler, G.; Kunert, R.; Zolla-Pazner, S.; Katinger, H.; Petropoulos, C. J.; Burton, D. R. J. Virol. 2004, 78, 13232–13252.